

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation (“R&R”), (ECF No. 8), of United States Magistrate Judge Nancy J. Koppe, which recommends denying Plaintiff’s application to proceed in forma pauperis and instead requiring Plaintiff to pay the filing fee.

A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a United States Magistrate Judge made pursuant to Local Rule IB 1-4. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); D. Nev. R. IB 3-2. Upon the filing of such objections, the Court must make a *de novo* determination of those portions to which objections are made if the Magistrate Judge's findings and recommendations concern matters that may not be finally determined by a magistrate judge. D. Nev. R. IB 3-2(b). The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the Magistrate Judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. R. IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, the Court is not required to conduct "any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection." *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985) (citing 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge's R&R where no objections have been filed. *See, e.g., United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1122 (9th Cir. 2003).


Here, no objections were filed, and the deadline to do so has passed. (See R&R, ECF No. 8) (setting a June 26, 2024, deadline for objections).

1 Accordingly,

2 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation, (ECF No. 8), is
3 **ACCEPTED and ADOPTED** in full.

4 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis,
5 (ECF No. 1), is **DENIED**.

6 Dated this 10 day of July, 2024.



7
8
9 Gloria M. Navarro, District Judge
10 United States District Court
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25